Previous references.

1904

(Inamdar)

File No. 74-09

Serial Nos. 1-9

Later references.
1, 4 314-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-9

Part B. for March 12

SUBJECT.

Remuneration of Khalsa Lands endowed to Shree Raje Rewamaye Inamdar at Nevasandhadi, Jun Kur Taluk

Table of Contents

1 From Dy Comr. Jun Kur No 1844 of 22-10-08 Reporting on the petition of Rewamaya praying the remission of Khalsa lands

2. To the Sarsubhedar of Inam Settlement No. 2011 of 4-11-08 Calls for an extract of Inam Register

3. From the Surve No. 41 of 17-11-08 Submitting the extract called for

G. R. S. 58

A/Hmanday Asst
Recorder - 29-10-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Murpai-)

NOTES.

File No. 74-08

FILE HEADING.

Remission of Khalsat on a portion of the Devadayada Inam lands endowed to the Siva Puja Revanaiyana Matt at Moraganahalli in the Tumkur Taluk

From

The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District.

Letter No. 184 Dated 22-10-1908 Received 30-10-1908.

Diary No. 57 | Enclos. 1 & 1/2 Spare Copies - | Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports on the petition of Revanaiya praying for the remission of Khalsat on a portion of the Devadaya inam lands endowed to Siva Puja Revanaiya Matt at Moraganahalli in the Tumkur Taluk.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

A One Revanaiya Son of Moraganahalli Siddappa presented a petition (No. 1727 Dt 24.9.1906 appealing against the order of the Dy Comr, rejecting his application for the remission of Khalsat on a portion of the inam lands endowed to the

Serial No.

Siva Puje Revanaiyana Matt at Moragonaha in the Tumkur Taluk. This was forwarded to the Deputy Comr of the Tumkur District for favour of the Submission of the entire records connected with the case together with a report on the Subjects. re the alienation of a portion of the inam lands belonging to the above mentioned Institution.

The Deputy Comr reports that Survey No 70 is a Devadaya inam land endowed to the Revanaiya matt at Moragonahalli in the Tumkur Taluk, that during the Survey settlement an extent of one acre and 5 guntas was found to be in excess in this Survey No. and that it was converted into Survey No 79 and was fully assessed. The Khata of this land was in the name of the Petitioners' Uncle. After his death, his wife Hampalka sold it to one Muradappa on 10th January 1893. The Petitioner and his father who were about four years appear to have filed a Suit in the Civil Court for the recovery of half of the portion of this land and the Court seems to have decided that a Hampalka had no right to sell the petitioners' portion of the land in question. half the portion

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

of the land might be restored to the Petitioner. The Petitioner is in the enjoyment of the above land, paying assessment to Government. The Petitioner prays that the portion of Survey No 79 alienated by his uncle's wife may be restored to him and that the assessment thereon may be remitted and that it should be treated as Devadaya inam land.

The Deputy Comr is of opinion that as the land is fully assessed and as it is not in the hands of the person who conducts the Sevas in the Matt along with other Devadaya inam land he sees no reason to remit the assessment.

Draft subt calling for an extract of the Inam Regr

Wt 9.11.08

[illegible]

[illegible]

Shown who is managing it now please note it below.

[illegible]

Subbd

The Matt is under the management of the Petitioner and his uncle Sons Revana Siddaffa and Swathantrappa.

Mar 1 - Draft to Inam Superintt &c.

Wt 10.11.08

[illegible]

[illegible]

GPB—50 000—10-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 74 of 190 9

Serial No.

To,

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in response Docket no 205 Dt 11-11-1908 calls for an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to Swaminja Revanniah matt

From.

(2)

(3) The Same officer

No 41 dated 14-11-1908.

Submits the extract called for.

AV 27/11/08

Submitted for orders

From the extract of the Inam Regr it appears that 5 Pagodas worth of land have been confirmed free of tax as Devadaya inam for the support of the Revanniah's Matt.

Submd
by 28/11/08

The facts are clearly recited in the Dy Comrs Letter. It seems to me that though the Law has changed as Khabsat it does not seem to have changed as to the Principle involved. The Grant appears to have been for charitable and pious purposes and prima facie therefore exempt from assessment. The case appears to be similar to the Inam case of Sri Sri Sri

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 74 of 190 8

Serial No.

If this View is approved I shall fix a day in January.

No 275

Submitted to the 2nd Dr.

Abdulmanaf

Col. H. Cons

may be posted for hearing

KBM

Post to this 8th January if there are no Comm'r cases. Issue notice to Parties.

30/11

a

30/11/08

8th January is Friday & there may be Comm meeting on that day. Fix 9th January Saturday & issue notices at once so that there may be no more petitions

a

1/12/08.

AV

Dft Submitted.

AV 1/12/08

W 4/12/08

9/12/08

Revenue Secretariat - Report Nomad of the chief Revenue has presented a petition enclosing certain documents and says that half his home he states is in the employ of Messrs Peters - It has been ~~that he has been employed into~~ by ~~my~~ his made about his home land Certain to be find with reference to Peters ~~claim~~ claim forwarded -

Serial No.

Note continued

24

Revanaiah has produced the under-mentioned documents:-

1) Original Nirup of Chitra Bhanu Samvatsara granted by H.H. the late Maharaja Krishna Raja Wadiyar Bahadur III (a copy of which is in the file) × Hag Z)

2) An authenticated copy of the Sale Deed dated 24th September 1849 &c - purports to say that Anpakka sold one-half of the Inam land bearing S. no. 40 to Thimmappa and Siddappa for Rs 300/ This fact is borne out by the deposition (D) 22-1-08) of the Shanbhogue and the Patel of Morganahalli in the presence of - Virundapur Shekdar. × Hag H)

3) A copy of the chief court judgment reversing the decree of the Dist judge, Bangalore, and upholding that of the munsiff of Tumkur in the matter of the recovery of the possession of the Inam land.

4) Receipt dated 25 Sept 1902 granted by the munsiff's court at Tumkur restoring the possession of

Note—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

a portion of Inam land S. no. 70 To the Plaintiff "Kalaguna" Siddappa. The defendants were Hampakka and Muruddappa.

5) Decree of the Munsiff of Tumkur to make over one-half of the Khatagi land to the Plaintiff Siddappa.

Submitted for orders

AV 15/1/09

15/1/09 I have looked into the papers. The Inam land has been divided as proved by and divided among the co-sharers. Hampakka had the hereditary half share in 1879. In 1889 the Khatah proceedings separated and made into a separate number 29. Hampakka had the Muruddappa in 1893.

The suit is for the recovery of half of the Khatah land and is decreed in plaintiff's favour. The appeal possession on 26.9.1902.

He is not in possession of the entire Khatah number; and he is not in possession of the half which in pursuance of January 1902 was given to Khatah as Devarayya land to provide for Inam land the plaintiff is not entitled in [illegible] of Khatah

Serial No.

Pet^r wants the ~~entire~~ half of the Inam land sold in 1879 to be restored to the Mutt.

The principles applicable to this case seem to be (1) that the alienation was due to the fact that the Inam land was made the subject of partition like family property (2) that therefore the Pet^r cannot be allowed to have the alienation set aside without repaying the purchase money (3) that if he will not repay the money it is to be considered whether the Charity is of such importance as to call for Govt. intervention and management (4) if it is not so important whether the Inam land is worth to be freely assumed & kept in the possession of the people enjoying it as whether they are to be deprived of the same as the Inam ~~granted~~ transferred to any other during ^ intervention -

Issue
~~Put up~~ the draft for sd

Recd

80
5-5-09

5 To The Dy Comm^r Dumkan Pet^r Docket no 1859 of 12-5-09 calls for further information about the application of Peera mak of Moraganahalli for the restoration of Khatkat in S no 49

6 From, Nanjundappa Basavan vidwan a large no of the residents, Kulsandra Tumkur . . .

Note—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. 12.]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 74 of 19

Serial No.

Petition without date

States that in the matter of the restoration of the inam lands, the Shekdar set up a mahazar just as he liked being under the influence persons in possession who are non-holders and they had to sign unwillingly and request that no notice need be taken of this mahaz and add that their mahazar of 1908 contains the real state of things.

Submitted with a remr to Dc as directed

[illegible] 1918

[illegible]

7 From, The Dy. Commr, Trunkur Dt:
Letter no. 98 Dy 11. 9 1911

Reports that the Inam lands may be fully assessed and allowed to continue in the possession of the people now in enjoyment, as the petr pleads inability to pay the purchase money.

The Amildar's report no 374 Dy 30th Septr 1910 and the Dy Commrs present communication explain fully the facts of the case-

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,00 ›—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 74 of 1908

Serial No.

X Some say in their mahazar that the matt which existed 30 or 40 years ago is in a ruined condit that Rewa Siddeswara Devaru is kept in the pet's house and worsh that Sankarpane is conducted on one Two occasions in a years and that a new matt building has been constructed wherein nothing is being done.

Some others have said in their mahazar that the matt was in existence some 30 or 40 years ago that the pete is making a private X use of the income from the Inam lands.

W In his statement dy 2-10-09, Ramaiah has deposed that the matt is in Akkasamudrada Venkat-pura, that Inam lands S. nos. 70 and 79 of Morganahalli are in the khata of the Deity, that he and his uncle are Hissedars, and the latter's widow Kempukka has alienated some of the lands and that as his uncle has received the purchase money, there is no reason why he should pay

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPR—0.01—12-10

Serial No.

He adds that as the lands are Devadaya, the alienation may be cancelled and the lands restored to him.

v In his Statement Dy 28th Octr 1909 Revanniah has deposed that the original matt building being under repair, Revana Siddeswara Devaru is kept in his house and worshipped and the travellers resorting thereto are fed, and that the village is divided into parties.

u Hampakka wife of Revana Siddappa has deposed that she does not know how the lands came to be alienated and prays that the Inam lands may be restored.

t Putta Basappa son of Siddappa says that as one-half of Survey No 70 which was sold in 1879 by Hampakka has been in his enjoyment for more than 30 years, he is unwilling to give it back.

7 The Amildar of Tumkur reports after inspection that Nargonahalli is an out of the way place in the Taluk and is unfrequented by travellers and that therefore the matt has not

NOTE— Contn. sheet

GPS—50,000— 12 8 10

Serial No.

been serving a useful purpose - He further reports that the Puja work has since been stopped and with it, the feeding of Travellers. He think that it is necessary to set aside the alienation without re-paying the purchase money unless it be to transfer the Inam lands to some other deserving institution—

The Sub Divl. Office thinks that the present deterioration of the matt is not due solely to the neglect of the petr. and proposes the resumption of the inam lands and their restoral to the matt subject to the condition that the petr. binds himself to revive the Puja and the feeding of Travellers according to manual.

The Dy Commr concurs with the Amildar and recommends that the Inam lands may be fully assessed and allowed to continue in the possession of the people now in enjoyment, particularly as the petr. pleads inability to re-pay the purchase money and the charity is not of sufficient importance to merit its being taken under

GPB—50 000— 12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
neral and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 74 of 1908

Serial No.

Govt management on mere ex-parte circumstances, have it transferred any other institution.
Submitted for orders
Asst C (132) 26/9/11 RL
Whether the parties be heard for hearing.
2/12/1911

Submitted with a draft notice to Revenue Collectors
13/1/12
Subd to Hon'ble Councillor
27/2/12

Notices issued 5.2.12
Date of hearing 29.2.12.

Appt by Mr Gunda Rau heard
Opponents absent

The case does not appear to be important enough for Govt to take up under the Rules for resumption of Inams
The lands may be resumed and charged with full assessment, and left in possession of the Parties actually in possn
29/2/12

G. R. S. 12]
neral and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 74 of 1908

Serial No.

Submitted with a draft order
AV (1321)
1.3.1912

Please put up a fair draft-

12/3/12

Submitted with a fair draft
AV 13/3/12
to 1st Councillor for approval

457 13 3 12

75.

draft approved

14/3/12

Issue
15/3/12

(8) To — Secretary Commissioner
No 2313 dy 25.3.12 -

Agreeing with Secretary Commissioner in his decision and directing that mélanges in question be assessed charged with full assessment and left in possession of the parties, subject

Copy to — Secretary Revenue Minister for Canada
No 2314 dy 25.3.1912

Copy to Secretary Appeal Commissioner
No 2315 dy 25.3.12

NOTE — Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 5 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 74 of 1908

Serial No.	
9.	From, The Inam Supdt in Mysore. Letter no. ~~48~~ 52 Dy 05-11-12. Forwards Copy of the letter to the Dy. Commr, Tumkur District, together with a Copy of the ~~Register~~ resumption of the Inam Register, pertaining to the Inam lands of Sirsapuje Revenuiah na This will be kept in the File. Submitted AVC 13/7 7-12-12

GPB—50,000—12-8 10

D57

190 file no 74 58-1

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur Distt

To The Secretary to Government Revenue department

Dated 22nd October 1908

Despatched 23rd

Received

General No. 184

No.

Enclosure No. one file including this letter

Reporting on the petition of Revanaiya praying for the remission of Khalsa on a portion of the Devadaya Inam lands endowed to Siva puja Revanaiya mutt at Nagonahalli, Tumkur Taluk

Refer

GA

Please put up a note

G

20/10/08

VV

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Dated 23rd October 1908.

From K Doraswami Iyer Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To K S Chandrasekhara Aiyer Esq BA BL
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.
(Inwards Branch)

Sir, In reply to your office memo no: 930 dated 14th August 1907 calling for a report on the appeal petition of Revanniah praying for the remission of Khalsat on a portion of the Devadaya Inam lands endowed to Siva puja Revanniah mutt at Morganahalli, Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to report as follows:-

2. Survey no. 70. is a Devadaya Inam land given by the late His Highness (vide copy of the Sanad of the year 1822 enclosed by the Appellant) Vide

Highness Krishnaraja wodeyar III, to the then manager of the Revanniah mutt at Morganahalli.- the petitioner Revanniah's grandfather. An extent of 1 acre and 5 guntas (assessed at Rs 3-8-0) was discovered to be in excess in this Survey number after the Survey Settlement. This excess was treated as khalsat and converted into Survey no: 79 and fully assessed.

3. For over 20 years the petitioner and his father were absent from their native Village. Consequently, the Khata of the Inam land was in the name of the petitioner's uncle and after his death in the name of the petitioner's aunt Hampakka.

4. After the excess was treated as khalsat, Hampakka Sold it- (S.no 79) to one Mavudappa and the Varga- Rajinama of this land took place before the Amildar on 10th January

+ (sic y)

of the
of the year

5. After returning home the petitioner and his father appear to have filed a Suit in the Mouniff's Court and recovered half the portion of this land; and have since been paying half the assessment on it Viz R & P. 1-15-6.

6. Relying on the Government Proceedings No 2973-83 dated 9th January 1902 the petitioner and his father protested the payment of the assessment in their petition to the Amildar. The Amildar Submitted the matter to me for orders. Vide authentic copy P[illegible]X

7. Survey no: 79 had ceased to be Inam and in that capacity was Sold to a 3rd party from whom the petitioner recovered half the land through the Civil Court, on the ground that Hampakka had no right to sell the petitioner's portion of the land. Had the land been Considered as Devadaya Inam land, it ought to have been in fact in

in the hands of the person who Conducted the Sevas in the Mutt. But it had passed into the hands of a third party and the petitioner had recovered only half of it as a co-parcener. Under Such circumstances I Saw no reason to permit the assessment (R.Ap.W) and ordered the same to be collected as usual.

8. It is against this order that the petitioner appeals. He prays in his petition

(1) to have the assessment remitted on Survey no 79 and the land confirmed as Devadaya Inam land according to Government Proceedings no: 2973-83 dated 9th January 1902

(2) for the recovery of the other half of Survey no: 79 which was formerly in the enjoyment of the Mutt, from its present holder Mowne dappa who refuses to give it back to [illegible]

(vide his Statement) 1226-1908 R.Ap.V.

9. The Vernacular records connected with the Case are herewith Submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

D Srinivasaiengar
For Deputy Commissioner

Dy D

G.R.S. 29A]

190

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

ENCLOSURE No.

Has the honour to request that an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of the inam lands to the Revanaiya Mutt at Hosa-Sana halli in the Tumkur Taluk may be forwarded to this Office.

R.O.

By ---
Asst. Secretary

for Secretary

GPB—10,000—9-4-06

R E
Mz 74-08-3 7

Inam Superintendents' Office,

No. 41/1

Dated, Bangalore 17th November 1908

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments

Sir,

As requested in your docket no. 205- Mz 74-08-2 dated 11th November 1908, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to the Revannaiya Matt at Moraganahally in the Tumkur Taluk

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

[signature]

Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Register sheet no. 15.

Village = Moragondanahully

Hobli = Oridagere

Taluk = Tumkoor.

Copy of the

Register of Inams in the village of Moragondanahully in the

CLASS EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and thereof in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
Title Deed No 636		Jari	account 1831-32	
	Devadaya	Dry No 7	0 - 8 - 0	5 29

Sammat of Cordigerry in the Taluk of Toonkoor

Dr. C.

Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of land
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for taxes, buildings, &c whether they are efficiently applied Hereditary. to

6

For the support of the Rowanuddy with which is said to be in good order

14-8 9

By whom, granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim or evidence obtained at the local enquiry In this column it should be stated whether the document or other proofs produced are original or copies, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general purport and terms of all documents produced should be given	
10	11	

By His Highness the Maharajah Kushmaraja Wodayer in 1822

Original Sannud is produced In which it is directed that 5 Pagodas' worth Dry Land should be granted as Surva Inaam to the Instt.

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL B[illegible]

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by P[illegible]	[illegible]
12	15	

Ramanadhs'
Mutt.

Jaffna account
1831-32
Exp — 1-8-6
Rx — 16-8-9

Ramanadh
—
Jaffna account
1855
de da
Ramanadh
Nagalingam
Y
Selvanayagam

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the land has been subdivided, the name, &c of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, &c	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Murugappa Chettiar

Ravanniaya

Muttu.

Managers

1 Karibasiah

2. K. Siddiah

3. S. Siddiah

4 Ravanaiah

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
To be confirmed free of tax. (Signed) C. Soob Rao Assistant. 16-8-69.	To be confirmed free of tax. (Signed) B Gopalaiah Spl Asst 24-3-70 Confirmed free of tax. (Signed) T. Clark Inam Commr May 18th 70.

"Copy"

L Nanu Rao

Supt of Inam Settlement in Mysore

Compd

Inam Superintendent's office Bangalore dated 17th November 1908.

ఆ ఉత్తర్వులు ఇవ్వడం జరిగింది.

11/9/06

1494

Ahmedabad

Amreli - Ahmedabad

16/9/06 14.9.06

I

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ತೀರಿಸಿದ್ದು - ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರು
ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪಾವತಿ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.
ದಿನಾಂಕ 1-1-1901 ರಿಂದ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 31 ರವರೆಗೆ ಮೂರು
ತಿಂಗಳ ಅವಧಿಗೆ ಮನೆಯ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ರೂ. 15 ಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ
ರಸೀದಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದಲ್ಲದೆ
ದಿನಾಂಕ 1-1-1901 ರಿಂದ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 31 ರವರೆಗೆ ಮೂರು
ತಿಂಗಳ ಅವಧಿಗೆ ಮನೆಯ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ರೂ. 15 ಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ
ರಸೀದಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.
ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಯಾವ ತಪ್ಪನ್ನೂ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದು
ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕೈಬಿಡಬೇಕೆಂದು
ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ
ಸಹಿ/- ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ
ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಓದಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರ್
ಅವರು ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೊರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಆಪಾದನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟರು.
ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರ
ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ರಸೀದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಪಾಠದ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಅರ್ಥಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ.
ಈ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಿದಾಗ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಮರ್ಥವಾಗಿ
ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯಬಲ್ಲವರಾಗಿದ್ದರು ಎಂಬುದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರು
ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ತಪ್ಪುಗಳೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅವರ ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು
ಮುತ್ತಿನಂತೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿವೆ. ಅವರು ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಅನಗತ್ಯ
ವಿಷಯಗಳೂ ಇಲ್ಲ

183

ఆత్మీయులారా అప్పటి పోరాటంలో మనపక్షాన నిలిచిన మా తండ్రిగారి

తాలూకా నిలువుదశలో [illegible]

183/60
24.7.07

16

388

2

MYSORE
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

By Regd Post
11/08

తా-15-1-1908 నేటికి

తా లాల్ గోపీకిషన్ భట్టాచార్యులు గారు

30

16/9/2008

1163

16.9.08

G.R S. 29A]

190 .

From

The Secy to the Govt of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev. Dept.

To The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

Dated 12th May 1909

Despatched

Received

General No 1889
No. 74-1 & 95

Enclosure No. 1 Title & petition and 13 cards

With reference to his letter No. 184 dated 22nd Oct 1908 about the application of Revanniah of Monaganahalli for the ~~commutation~~ union of Khalsat on Survey No 79, has the honor to forward herewith Petition No 591 from Revanniah and its enclosures from which it will be seen that half of the main land Survey No 70 has also been alienated in the year 1879 In those ...

the Debuty Commissioner is requested to make the necessary enquiries and with reference to the principles ~~underlying~~ underlying the rules about the alienation of Devadaya Inam lands, furnish at an early date his opinion on the points noted below

1. Whether Petitioner Revanniah can be allowed to have the alienation set aside without repaying the purchase money?

2. In case of his not being able or willing to repay the money whether the Charity is of such importance as to justify the resumption of the Inam and the management of the Charity by Govt?

3. If the Charity is not important whether the Inam land is to be fully assessed and kept in the possession of the people enjoying it or whether they are to be deprived of the same and the Inam transferred to any other deserving institution?

The Vernacular records are returned for reference and resubmission.

By order,

S. S. Rao

for Secretary.

Tn. dupt.

ﻣﺎﺭﺳﻴﻠﻴﺎ ﺍﻟﻤﺪﻳﻨﺔ ﺍﻟﻤﺤﻜﻤﺔ ﺍﻟﻤﺎﺋﻴﺔ ﺍﻟﻤﺎﺋ

VII
14/9

190

DIARY No. 422

1911-1912
SECTION Mineral
FILE No. 74-08
SERIAL 7

From

The Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, Rev. and Ag.
Departments, Bangalore.

Dated 11th September 1911

Despatched 11th September 1911

Received

General No. 98
No.

Enclosure No One file South
in a Separate Cover

Reporting that the Inam lands may be fully assessed and allowed to continue in the possession of the people now in enjoyment as the petitioner pleads inability to pay the purchase money .

Continue note
Bd
14.9.11
14/9/11

V.I

33

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, 11th /12th September 1911.

From

M. Shamanna Esq., M.A.,

Offg: Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

(Muzrai)

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 1839 dated 12th May 1909 calling for my opinion regarding the resumption of the alienated inam lands endowed to the Sivapuja Revannaih Mutt at Margonahalli, Tumkur Taluk, I have the honour to state as follows :-

1. The Amildar of Tumkur who held needful enquiry reports that Margonahalli is an out of the way place in the Taluk and is unfrequented by travellers and that therefore the Mutt has not been serving a useful purpose. He also reports that the puja work which was for some time being conducted with regularity has been stopped and with it the feeding of travellers. In these circumstances he is of opinion that it is necessary to set aside the alienation without repaying the purchase money unless it be to transfer the inam lands to some other deserving institution.

2. The Sub Division Officer, Tumkur, however, differs from this view as he thinks that the present deterioration in the working of the Mutt is not due solely to the negligence of the petitioner but for reasons beyond

petitioner's control such as the dearness of provisions etc. Accordingly he proposes the resumption of the inam lands and of their being handed over to the Mutt subject to the condition that the petitioner binds himself to revive the ouja and the feeding of travellers according to manul

3. I concur with the Amildar and would recommend that the inam lands may be fully assessed and allowed to continue in the possession of the people now in enjoyment , particularly as the petitioner pleads inability to repay the purchase money and the charity is not of sufficient importance to merit its being taken under Government management or under the existing circumstances have it transferred to any other institution . The vernacular file of papers coding with the Tumkur Sub Division Officer's Yedi No 193 of the 19th ultimo is herein enclosed for reference .

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

H. Shamanna Rao

Offg: Deputy Commissioner.

Dft. as per Cro. answers

G.R.S.-28.]

File No. 74-08
Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 422

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Inzrai)

the 19

Date of issue 25-3-1912

Signed by W. B. Ramaswamaiya

READ—

Petition regd no. 183 D/ 8th July 1907 from Revanniayya Son of Siddappa appealing against the order of the Tumkur Deputy Commr, Tumkur rejecting his application

ORDER THEREON.—No.

for the remission of Khalsa in a portion of the Devadaya Inam lands endowed to Sivaganga Revanniah Matt at Morganahalli, Tumkur Taluk.

Correspondence ending with
Letter no 98 Dy 12th Septr 1911
from the Dy Comnr, Tumkur
Distt reporting on the petition
under thereon — No 2313 Judicial D) 5/3/12.
Misc 74 of 8
The facts reported by the
Dy Comnr are as follows:—

Survey no 70 is a Devadaya
inam land granted for the
Devanniah matt, the manager
of which was the petrs grand-
father; but one-half of the land
was alienated to a third party in the year 1879. During
Survey Settlement, an extent 7-
a g
1-5 was found to be in excess
in the Survey No and, being
treated as Khalsa, was
converted into S. no 79. The
petrs aunt sold the S. no 79 to
~~a third party~~ one Murudappa in 1893. The petr
filed a suit for the recovery
of one-half of the Khalsa
land and got a decree
in his favor and obtained
possession of the same.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

He then objected to pay the assessment claiming that it should be treated as Dev-daya inam land. He also prayed that the alienated portion of the S. nos. should be resumed and made over to him, the assessment being also remitted. The Dy Comm held that there were no reasons to convert the Khalsa land into inam, that the matt had not been serving any useful purpose, that Puja and the feeding of travellers ~~work~~ had been stopped, declined to grant the pet's ~~and with it the feeding of~~ prayers for these reasons. ~~travellers. In these reasons~~, The D.C. now recommends that the inam lands may be fully assessed, and allowed to continue in the possession of the people now in enjoyment, partial as the pets pleads inability to repay the purchase money

And the charity is not of merit sufficient importance to ~~merit~~ its being taken under Govt management

The case was heard before Govt on the 29th Febry. 1912.

The Govt agree with the Dy Commr in his decision that the petr is not entitled to the relief sought for and the case does not appear to be of sufficient importance for Govt to take action under the rules for resumption and restoration of inams to such institns.

Govt therefore direct that the lands may be resumed and charged with full assessment, and left in possession of the parties actually in possession

Fair
DCT
BK

13/3/12
For Mr Lees Levy

Submd
the order may be passed & issued
Yes.
AV
13/3/12

20,
The Dy Commr, Kumta
Dis[t] re[g] the records
No 2314 Dd 25/3/12
Copy to Mr Sundia
No 2315 Dd 25/3/12
Copy to Murudap

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read-

Petition registered No. 183 dated 8th July 1907, from Revannayya, son of Siddappa, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, rejecting his application for the remission of Khalsat on a portion of the Devadaya Inam lands endowed to Sivapuje Revanniah Matt at Morganahalli, Tumkur Taluk.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.98 dated 12th September 1911, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the petition.

Order thereon No. 2313
Mina 74-08-8
Dated Bangalore the 25th March 1912.

The facts reported by the Deputy Commissioner are as follows:-

Survey No.70 is a Devadaya Inam land granted for the Revanniah Matt, the manager of which was the petitioner's grandfather; but one-half of the land was alienated to a third party in the year 1879. During Survey Settlement, an extent of 1 acre, 5 guntas was found to be in excess in the survey No. and, being treated as Khalsat, was converted into S.No.79. The petitioner's aunt sold this S.No.79 to one Marudappa in 1893. The petitioner filed a suit for the recovery of one half of the Khalsat land and got a decree in his favor and obtained possession of the same. He then objected to pay the assessment claiming that it should be treated as Devadaya Inam land. He also prayed that the alienated portion of the S.Nos. should be resumed and made over to him, the assessment being also remitted. The Deputy Commissioner held that there were no reasons to convert the Khalsat land into Inam, that the Matt had not been serving any useful purpose, that Puja and the feeding of travellers had been discontinued, and -

declined to grant the petitioner's prayers for these reasons. The Deputy Commissioner now recommends that the Inam lands may be fully assessed, and allowed to continue in the possession of the people ~~now~~ in enjoyment, particularly as the petitioner pleads inability to repay the purchase money and the charity is not of sufficient importance to merit its being taken under Government management.

The case was heard before Government on the 29th February 1912. The Government agree with the Deputy Commissioner in his decision that the petitioner is not entitled to the relief sought for and the case does not appear to be of sufficient importance for Government to take action under the rules for resumption and restoration of Inams to such institutions. Government, therefore, direct that the lands may be resumed and charged with full assessment and left in possession of the parties actually in possession.

Fair draft
B.L

for Offg. Secretary to Govt.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning the records.

S.R.N.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 25th March 1912.

READ—

Petition registered No. 183, dated 8th July 1907, from Revanniah, son of Siddappa, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, rejecting his application for the remission of khalsat on a portion of the Devadaya Inam lands endowed to Sivapuje Revanniah Matt at Morganahalli, Tumkur Taluk.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 98, dated 12th September 1911, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the petition.

No. 2313—MUZ. 74-08-8, DATED BANGALORE, 25TH MARCH 1912.

ORDER THEREON.—The facts reported by the Deputy Commissioner are as follows :—

Survey No. 70 is a Devadaya Inam land granted for the Revanniah Matt, the manager of which was the petitioner's grandfather; but one-half of the land was alienated to a third party in the year 1879. During Survey Settlement, an extent of 1 acre and 5 guntas was found to be in excess in the survey numbers and, being treated as Khalsat, was converted into Survey No. 79. The petitioner's aunt sold this Survey No. 79 to one Murudappa in 1893. The petitioner filed a suit for the recovery of one-half of the Khalsat land and got a decree in his favor and obtained possession of the same. He then objected to pay the assessment, claiming that it should be treated as Devadaya Inam land. He also prayed that the alienatad portion of the survey numbers should be resumed and made over to him, the assessment being also remitted. The Deputy Commissioner held that there were no reasons to convert the Khalsat land into Inam, that the Matt had not been serving any useful purpose, that Puja and the feeding of travellers had been stopped, and declined to grant petitioner's prayers for these reasons. The Deputy Commissioner now recommends that the Inam lands may be fully assessed, and allowed to continue in the possession of the people in enjoyment, particularly as the petitioner pleads inability to repay the purchase money and the charity is not of sufficient importance to merit its being taken under Government management.

The case was heard before Government on the 29th February 1912. The Government agree with the Deputy Commissioner in his decision that the petitioner is not entitled to the relief sought for and the case does not appear to be of sufficient importance for Government to take action under the rules for resumption and restoration of Inams to such institutions. Government, therefore, direct that the lands may be resumed and charged with full assessment and left in possession of the parties actually in possession.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning the records.

1911-12

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 25th March 1912

No. 2313
Muz. 74-08-8

Rejecting appeal petition of Revanniah, son of Siddappa, in the matter of the remission of khalsat on a portion of the Devadaya Inam lands endowed to Sivapuje Revanniah Matt at Morganahalli in the Tumkur Taluk.

GPB—12 4 12—200

No. 44
Enc.

Office of the Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Dated. Bangalore, 7th November 1912

From Rajakarya Vishwanatha Rao Bahadur
D Shama Rao, Esq.,
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To
K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq. M.A.
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that a copy of Govt. order No. 2313 / Inam. F. 74-08-8 dated 25th March 1912 regarding the cancellation of the inam tenure of the inam land endowed to Sri Revanna matt at Morganahalli, Tumkur Taluk, may be kindly furnished to this office for reference, as the same was not received here.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
D Shama Rao
Superintendent of
Settlements in Mysore

GPB—300—23 9 10

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 74-08

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 761

No. 60, Ten,

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mysore)
the 19 .

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore

Date of issue 19-11-1912

Signed by Mr. K. Ramaswamaiya

His No 44 D 7 November 1912

As requested in the above,
I have the honor to forward here
with a Copy of Govt order No 2313
Revs 774 08 8
D 25th March 1912 directing that
the lands endowed to Swapuja
Ravanaiya Mutt at Morgana
halli in the Tumkur Taluk may
be resumed and charged with

over.

SUBJECT.

full assessment and left in
possession of the parties actually
in possession

Bowden

14.11.12

for Secretary

14/11/12

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—23-9 10

DI . No..... .....



From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur Dist.

Dated
Despatched } 25th Nov. 912

Received

General No. 98
No.

Enclosure No. 1

With reference to the correspondence ending with his Yadi No. 323 of the 14th October 1912, regarding the resumption of a Devadaya Service Inam land in Mara-gondanahalli, Tumkur Taluk, has the honour to forward herewith a Returned Register, No. 221, of the said Taluk

Sd/ D Thamaiah,
Superintendent.

No. 52

Memo

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to the Secretary to

Government in the General and Revenue
Departments. with a Copy of the Returned Report

15th Nov 1912
Archæological Survey of the Mysore
Settlements Mysore
Bangalore

D Rangachar.
Superintendent